

# A General Index for the Evaluation of Gap between the Real and the Ideal Customer Satisfaction

**Luigi Fabbris**

*Statistics Department, University of Padua*

*Via Cesare Battisti 241*

*35121 Padova (Italy)*

[fabbris@tat.unipd.it](mailto:fabbris@tat.unipd.it)

## 1. Index $G_1$

The satisfaction of customers of a service, or of purchasers of a good, is normally expressed with a score on an ordinal or cardinal scale. We assume that, for an informed customer, top and bottom levels of quality, given the social, economical and natural environment of service supply, do exist and are unique. Minimum and maximum levels of service quality differ according to individuals, but the offer of a common evaluative scale defines the extremes and attributes a common meaning to any intermediate position.

Let us suppose a sample on  $n$  customers is drawn from a population of  $N$ , and subject positions are measured with a common quantitative scale, of which  $m$  denotes its minimum and  $M$  its maximum values. The “average gap” between the real (i.e. the current) and the most satisfactory position may be expressed as a Minkowski distance of parameter  $\lambda$ :

$$G_I^* = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j - M|^I \right]^{1/I} \quad (I \geq 1), \quad (1)$$

where  $y_j$  is the position of customers  $j$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ). If the scale is composed of  $k$  natural numbers, the standardised index,  $G_\lambda$ , is written as:

$$G_I = \frac{G_I^*}{\text{Max}(G_I^*)} = \frac{1}{M - m} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i - M|^I f_i \right]^{1/I} \quad (I \geq 1), \quad (2)$$

where  $n_i$  is the frequency of score  $y_i$  and  $f_i = n_i / n$ .  $G_\lambda$  is qualified by the following properties:

1. It varies between 0 and 1 ( $0 \leq G_1 \leq 1$ ). It is null if all customers show full satisfaction, it attains its maximum if all customers are maximally critical toward the service, and, in between, it is sensible to any increase of satisfaction.
2. If  $I=1$ , the denominator of the index is the average of the absolute discrepancies from service optimality:

$$G_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k |y_i - M| f_i}{(M - m)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k (M - y_i) f_i}{(M - m)} = \frac{M - \sum_{i=1}^k y_i f_i}{(M - m)}; \quad (3)$$

if  $I=2$ , the denominator is the average Euclidean distance from service optimum

$$G_2 = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k (y_i - M)^2 f_i / (M - m)^2 \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{M - m} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k (y_i - M)^2 f_i \right]^{1/2}. \quad (4)$$

## 2. Distribution features of indexes $G_1$ and $G_2$

The expected value of index  $G_1$  is:

$$E(G_1) = \frac{M - E(\bar{y})}{M - m} = \frac{M - \mathbf{m}}{M - m} = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{m} - m}{M - m}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\bar{y}$  is the sample mean of  $Y$ , and  $\mathbf{m}$  is the average satisfaction of the population of customers.

Variance of  $G_1$  is proportional to the variance of satisfaction measures:

$$Var(G_1) = Var \frac{M - \sum y_i f_i}{M - m} = \frac{1}{(M - m)^2} Var(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{(M - m)^2} \frac{S_y^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) Deff, \quad (6)$$

where  $S_y^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_j (Y_j - \bar{\mathbf{m}})^2$  is the elementary variance of  $Y$ , and  $Deff$  is the so-called “design effect”,

whose formula depends on how the sample was formed (Kish, 1965).

The expected value of  $G_2$ , if the sample is simple random, is a bounded function of the average,  $\bar{\mathbf{m}}$  and variance,  $\mathbf{s}_y^2$ , of satisfaction levels of the population:

$$E(G_2) = \frac{E[s_y^2 + (\bar{y} - M)^2]^{1/2}}{M - m} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{M - m} \left( M - \frac{\bar{\mathbf{m}}}{2} - \frac{\bar{\mathbf{m}}^2 + \mathbf{s}_y^2}{8M} \right), \quad (7)$$

where  $s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_j (y_j - \bar{y})^2$  is the sample variance of  $Y$ , and the limit is obtained by expanding the analytic formula in a Taylor series.

Variance of  $G_2$  is analogously bounded (Fabbris, 2000):

$$\frac{Var(\bar{y})}{(M - m)^2} \leq Var(G_2) \leq \frac{Var(\bar{y}) + \bar{\mathbf{m}}^2 + \mathbf{s}^2 / 2 - M^2}{(M - m)^2} - \left[ \frac{(\bar{\mathbf{m}}^2 + \mathbf{s}^2)(\bar{\mathbf{m}}^2 + \mathbf{s}^2 + 8\bar{\mathbf{m}}M)}{32M^2(M - m)^2} \right]. \quad (8)$$

### 3. Final considerations

1. Index  $G_\lambda$  is applicable with any quantitative scale.
2.  $E(G_\lambda)$  is independent on the scale adopted to measure satisfaction. Nevertheless, the measure of the gap is more accurate if the number of explicit scale values is larger: Fabbris (2000) defines an algorithm for transforming a  $k$ -point scale into a  $k/2$  or  $k/3$  point scale.
3. The expected value of  $G_2$  is larger than, or equal to,  $G_1$  :  $1 \geq E(G_2) \geq E(G_1) \geq 0$ .
4. If we adopt  $G_1$  as a measure of residual dissatisfaction with respect to an ideal service, we equally weigh each recorded gap.  $G_2$ , instead, is much more severe than  $G_1$  with episodes of serious dissatisfaction. For instance, while computing  $G_2$ , a gap of 4 from optimum weighs four times a gap of 2 from optimum, whilst, for the computation of  $G_1$ , a gap of 4 “democratically” weighs twice as much a gap of 2. Hence,  $G_2$  may be adopted if a policy of serious dissatisfaction removal is performed.

### REFERENCES

Kish L. (1965) *Survey Sampling*, Wiley, New York

Fabbris L. (2000) Un indice per misurare il divario tra la qualità attuale e quella ottimale di un servizio. In: Civardi M., Fabbris L. (eds.) *Valutazione della didattica con sistemi computer-assisted*, CLEUP, Padova: 169-178

### RESUME

*On propose un index général pour la mesure de la satisfaction de la clientèle d'un service. L'index est présenté comme une distance de Minkowski des différences du maximum observées. La distribution statistique de l'index avec paramètres  $\mathbf{I}=1$  et  $\mathbf{I}=2$  est dérivée.*