

# A Study on the General Appraisal Model of Sustainable Development

Chen Zhenzhen

*The Department of Planning and Statistics, Xiamen University*

*Baicheng 23-601, Xiamen University*

*Xiamen, Fujian, 361005 China*

*chzhenzhen@263.net*

## 1. Establishment of the General Appraisal Model of Sustainable Development

This article divides the general appraisal models of sustainable development into three main systems: Economic Development Appraisal System (ED), Social Population Quantity and Quality Appraisal System (SP) and Resources Development and Exploitation and Ecological Environment Appraisal System (RE). Each system is composed of several appraisal items. The ED system is composed of two subsystems of aggregate economic development and economic development quality. In the subsystem of aggregate economic development, there are three appraisal items. They are  $U_1$ : net economic welfare;  $U_2$ : industrial capacity (including the total output of energy, steel, cement, etc.); and  $U_3$ : food deliverability (including grains, oils, etc.). The subsystem of economic development quality has three appraisal items of  $U_4$ : production quality index  $= \sum_{i=1}^n K_i W_i$   $K_1$  is the rate of qualified products,  $K_2$  is the market share,  $K_3$  is the ratio of production environment meeting the standards, and  $W_i$  is the relevant weight;  $U_5$ : assets efficiency coefficient = GDP/average occupation of assets; and  $U_6$ : energy exploitation coefficient. The SP system is composed of two subsystem of social stability and development and residential living quality. In the subsystem of social stability and development, there are four appraisal items of  $U_7$ : human development index  $U_8$ : rate of change in Gini coefficient;  $U_9$ : rate of change in proportion of the population below the low-income level; and  $U_{10}$ : index of change in criminal rate. In the subsystem of residential living quality, there are three appraisal items of  $U_{11}$ : net economic welfare per capita;  $U_{12}$ : change in Engel coefficient; and  $U_{13}$ : major food output per capita. The RE system is composed of three subsystems of environmental expenses, environmental benefit and resources development and exploitation. In the subsystem of environmental expenses, there are three appraisal items of  $U_{14}$ : proportion of input of environment protection in GDP;  $U_{15}$ : environmental degradation (upgrading) index  $= \sum_{i=1}^n K_i W_i$   $K_1$  is the air degradation (upgrading) index,  $K_2$  is the water degradation (upgrading) index, and  $K_3$  is the green coverage degradation (upgrading) index and  $U_{16}$ : proportion of loss from disasters in GDP. In the subsystem of environmental benefit, there are three appraisal items of  $U_{17}$ : comprehensive environmental benefit index;  $U_{18}$ : GDP contributed by recovery and comprehensive utilization of three wastes; and  $U_{19}$ : optimization rate of community environment. In the subsystem of resources development and exploitation, there are three appraisal items of  $U_{20}$ : rate of difference between supply and demand of resources;  $U_{21}$ : per capita possession of resources; and  $U_{22}$ : rate of green

coverage.

## 2. Item Benefit Appraisal Methods

Among the above 22 appraisal items, some are aggregate indexes and others are relative indexes. When the values of some relative indexes (such as U4, U5, U6, U7, U11, U13, U15, U17, U19, U21, and U22) go up, the benefits increase. When the values of other relative indexes (such as U8, U9, U10, U12, and U16) drop, the benefits increase too. A desirable value for U14 may be given in light of the actual conditions. In order to make it easy for integration and comparison, the aggregate indexes such as U1, U2, U3, and U18 are returned into non-dimensional indexes. The formula is as follows:

$$d_i = \frac{X_i - X_i^{(s)}}{X_i^{(h)} - X_i^{(s)}} \times 40 + 60 \quad U'_i = \frac{\sum w_i d_i}{\sum w_i}$$

With the non-dimensional treatment,  $U'_1$ ,  $U'_2$ ,  $U'_3$ , and  $U'_{18}$  become the effect factors of the corresponding items. Now we can set comparative evaluation standards, adopt the fuzzy appraisal method, establish affiliation functions according to the fuzzy distribution patterns (ladder distribution and rectangular distribution) and integrate the single item appraisal results of various subsystems. Then, with this appraisal result, we can derive the fuzzy relational matrix  $\tilde{R}$ .

## 3. Integration of appraisal Results from subsystems to the main system

The fuzzy relation synthetic method used in the fuzzy appraisal shall be adopted for integration of various appraisal items and various subsystems. The appraisal factor fields shall be established for various subsystems and weights of various items determined. The weight vector is  $\tilde{A}$ . Combine  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\tilde{R}$ , then  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \cdot \tilde{R}$ . Then give scores to various appraisals. The values of various subsystems can be calculated respectively.

In this article, the weighted average method is adopted to summarize the integration of appraisal results of subsystems and that of the main system. This appraisal model can be used to compare the sustainable development in different areas or to conduct a dynamic analysis.

The empirical analysis is omitted in this abstract.

## REFERENCE

1. Principles of Statistics, by Huang Liangwen, China Statistics Publishing House, June 2000.
2. Methods of Fuzzy Mathematics and Their Application, by Xie Jijian and Liu Chengping, the Publishing House of Central China Science and Technology University, May 2000.
3. Sustainable Development and National Economic Statistics, by Chen Zhenzhen, Statistics and Forecast, June issue of 2000.