Bayesian Interval Estimation of the Difference Between Two Proportions for the Small Sample Case
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1. Problem Domain

Suppose a clinical trial is designed to test a new schedule of radiotherapy for treatment of brain tumors. It is known that standard dosing and schedule induce at least 60% response rate and the improved treatment is expected to have an increased response rate by 25%. One would with to construct a 95% confidence interval for the amount of increased response rate due to the new treatment. Consider a pair of independent binomial random variables X and Y with corresponding parameters \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) and sample sizes m and n. We put \( \theta = p_1 - p_2 \).

2. Standard Methods

The standard non-Bayesian method of constructing a 95% confidence interval for \( \theta \) is to use the normal approximation but this method is known to badly behave when \( m, n \) and the observations \( x, y \) are small. In particular, if \( x = y = 0 \) this interval fails to cover the true \( \theta \) unless \( p_1 = p_2 \), since the interval length is zero. Another standard one to overcome these difficulties uses a Bayesian approach. One of the latest results is using the non-informative prior distribution of \( (p_1, p_2) \) to get the joint posterior distribution of \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) where \( \phi = p_1 + p_2 \). The
authors try to get the bounds by integrating the joint distribution using the numerical analysis and show the superiority to the conventional normal approximation approach.

3. Marginal Posterior of $\theta$

In this study we propose a more refined Bayesian method using the marginal posterior distribution of $\theta$ derived from the joint distribution of $(p, \theta)$ not that of $(\theta, \varphi)$. Here we use a nice lemma which produces the marginal distribution of $\theta$. Under some circumstances of small $m$, $n$ we compare the actual coverage percentages of two confidence intervals to the confidence level and show that in most of the cases considered our proposed intervals have the actual coverage percentage closer to the specified level then those from the previous Bayesian method.
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