

Harmonizable Stable Processes With Rational Spectral Densities

S. Rezakhah and A. R. Soltani

1 Introduction

Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ be a strongly harmonizable symmetric α stable process, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, SH(S α S)P. Then $X(t)$ is the Fourier transform of a S α S random measure with independent increments Φ ,

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} \Phi(d\lambda). \quad (1.1)$$

Then $f(\lambda) = \frac{\|\Phi(d\lambda)\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}{d\lambda}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is the Schilder's norm, defines the spectral density of the process [?]. In this work we assume that $f(\cdot)$ is a rational function. More precisely

$$f(\cdot) = \left| \frac{Q(\cdot)}{P(\cdot)} \right|^2$$

where $Q(\cdot)$ and $P(\cdot)$ are polynomials of $i\lambda$, with no common factors, that the $\text{degree}(Q(\cdot)) < \text{degree}(P(\cdot))$.

The closed linear span of the $X(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, under the $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$, denoted by $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha})$, forms the time domain of the process which is a Banach space of jointly S α S random variables. By using the covariations $[X(t), X(S)]_{\alpha} = f^{\vee}(t-s)$, the elements of the process can be equipped with an inner product, resulting the Hilbert space $(S, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S)$ generated by the processes ([?]), see Theorem 1.1 given below. Let $S^T = \text{span S-closure}\{x(t) : |t| \leq T\}$. Then

$$S^{0+} = \bigcap_{T>0} S^T$$

is the S -Germ field of the process. Our aim is to show that the S -Germ field is finite dimensional and an analog of Hida's Theorem (1960) is also satisfied in S for the process $X(t)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ be a purely non deterministic SH(S α S)P given by (1.1), then there is a Hilbert space of jointly symmetric stable random variables denoted by $(\mathcal{S}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}})$, for which

- (i) $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$, as a point inclusion,
- (ii) $\|Y\|_\alpha \leq C\|Y\|_{\mathcal{S}}$, for every $Y \in \mathcal{S}$, where C is a constant independent of Y .
- (iii) $Y \in \mathcal{S}$, $Y = \int g dM$ where $g \in L^2$ and $M(A) = \int_A \frac{1}{h^*} d\Phi$, and h is an outer function of class H^2 for which $f = |h|^2$.
- (iv) For $Y_1 = \sum_{l=1}^n d_l X(t_l)$ and $Y_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j X(s_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle &= \sum_{l,j} d_l b_j^* [X(t_l), X(s_j)]_\alpha \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{l,j} d_l b_j^* f^\vee(s_j - t_l), \end{aligned}$$

where $f^\vee(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{-itu} du$ and $*$ stands for the complex conjugate, also $\hat{f}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{itu} dt$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ stands for the covariation.

Corollary 1.1 It follows from (iii) that $g_n(\cdot) \rightarrow g$ in L^2 if and only if $Y_n = \int g_n dM \rightarrow Y = \int g dM$ in $(\mathcal{S}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}})$, and only if $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{A} . Let us also record the following facts in L^2 theory concerning rational h

Lemma 1.1

Let $\mathcal{L}^T = \text{span} L^2 - \text{closure}\{e^{i\lambda t} h : |t| \leq T\}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{0+} = \bigcap_{T>0} \mathcal{L}^T$. Then \mathcal{L}^{0+} is the class of polynomials of degree less than $d - n_0 - n_1$. Also $\partial^k h^\vee : 0 \leq k \leq d - n_0 - n_1 - 1$ is a basis for \mathcal{L}^{0+} , where $h = \frac{p_0 p_1}{p_2}$ of real polynomials of $i\lambda$ with no common factors of degrees n_0, n_1, n_2 respectively, that the roots of p_0 lie on the line and the roots of p_1 and p_2 in the lower half plane and $n_0 + n_1 < n_2 = d$.

Lemma 1.2 Let $D = (2\pi)^{-1/2} p(i\partial)$ be a real differentiable operator with degree d of constant coefficients, where $p(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^d c_n (-i\lambda)^n$. Also let $e_n : 0 \leq n < d$ be a basis for the solutions of $D[k] = 0$ that satisfies

$$\partial^k e_n(0+) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = k < d \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq k < d. \end{cases}$$

Then the solution of $D[k] = g$ with initial data $\partial^n k(0+) : 0 \leq n < d$ is

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \partial^n f(0+) e_n(t) + \frac{1}{c_d} \int_0^t e_{d-1}(t-s) g(s) ds,$$

Lemma 1.3 Suppose D and p are as in Lemma 1.2 and $h = \frac{1}{p}$. Then $D[h^\vee] = 0$ for $t > 0$, and $\partial^n h^\vee(0+) = 0 : 0 \leq n \leq d-2$, and $\partial^{d-1} h^\vee(0+) = 1/c_d$

Lemma 1.4 Suppose h is as in Lemma 1.3, then $h^\vee = e_{d-1}/c_d$ where $e_n : 0 \leq n < d$ are as in Lemma 1.2.

Proof:

Apply Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 and note that $g \equiv 0$. To furnish the ingredients

we recall the following results (NS)

Theorem 1.2. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ be a purely non deterministic SH(S α S)P, then

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t h^\vee(t-s) dZ(s), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

in $(\mathcal{S}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}})$, and consequently in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha})$, where for bounded Borel sets $A \subset \mathbf{R}$, $Z(A) = \int \hat{I}_A(\lambda) dM(\lambda)$. Furthermore $\langle Z(A), Z(B) \rangle_{\mathcal{S}} = 0$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{S}_t(X) = \mathcal{S}_t(\Delta Z)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, where $\mathcal{S}_t(X) = \overline{\text{sp}}\{X(s), s \leq t\}$ in $(\mathcal{S}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}})$ and $\mathcal{S}_t(\Delta Z) = \overline{\text{sp}}\{Z(A) : A \subset (-\infty, t] \text{ and } A \text{ is bounded}\}$.

2 The Germ field \mathcal{S}^{0+}

In this section it is to be proved that the Germ field \mathcal{S}^{0+} is the finite dimensional subspace generated by S α S random variables

$$\partial^k X(0) : 0 \leq k \leq d - n_0 - n_1 - 1.$$

Let us first prove that these random variables are well defined.

Lemma 2.1 Let h be as in Lemma 1.1, then $\partial^k X(0) : 0 \leq k \leq d - n_0 - n_1 - 1$ are well defined S α S random variables that generate the Germ field \mathcal{S}^{0+} .

Proof.

Since $\lambda^k h(\lambda) : 0 \leq k \leq d - n_0 - n_1 - 1$ are in L^2 , by using the inequality

$$\left| \int \left[e^{i\lambda t} d\lambda - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(it)^k}{k!} \lambda^k \right] h(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq \int \min \left\{ \frac{|t\lambda|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \frac{2|t\lambda|^n}{n} \right\} h(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that

$$\partial^k h^\vee(t) = i^k \int \lambda^k e^{i\lambda t} h(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad t > 0$$

and

$$\partial^k h^\vee(0+) = i^k \int \lambda^k h(\lambda) d\lambda$$

in L^2 . Therefore by Corollary 1.1

$$\partial^k X(t) = i^k \int \lambda^k e^{i\lambda t} h(\lambda) dM, \quad 0 \leq k \leq d - n_0 - n_1 - 1, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\partial^k X(0+) = i^k \int \lambda^k h(\lambda) dM, \quad 0 \leq k \leq d - n_0 - n_1 - 1$$

To characterize \mathcal{S}^{0+} , note that by Theorem 1.1(iii) \mathcal{S}^{0+} is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}^{0+} , then apply Lemma 1.1. The proof is complete.

The following theorem is an analogue of the fundamental result of Hida(1960)

Theorem 2.1

Suppose $X(t)$ is a SH(S α S)P for which $f(\lambda) = |p(\lambda)|^{-2}$ where $p(\lambda)$ is as in Lemma 1.2. Then $D[X] = \dot{Z}$ where \dot{Z} is the differential operator given in Lemma 1.2 and \dot{Z} is the (so called) derivative of FN S α S process given in Theorem 1.2.

Proof.

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t h^\vee(t-s)dZ(s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 h^\vee(t-s)dZ(s) + \int_0^t h^\vee(t-s)dZ(s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 h^\vee(t-s) \dot{Z}(s)ds + \int_0^t h^\vee(t-s) \dot{Z}(s)d(s) \end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{Z}(t)$ is an $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}}$ -orthogonal S α S process in \mathcal{S} and is considered as the (generalized) derivative of the FN Process $Z(t)$. Now apply Lemma 1.4 to observe that

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 h^\vee(t-s) \dot{Z}(s)ds + \frac{1}{c_d} \int_0^t e_{d-1}(t-s) \dot{Z}(s)d(s),$$

where $e_n : 0 \leq n < d$ are as in Lemma 1.2. Since by Lemma 1.3 $D[h^\vee] = 0$, it follows from Lemma 1.2 that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 h^\vee(t-s) \dot{Z}(s)ds = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \partial^n X(\cdot)e_n(t).$$

The existence of $\partial^n X(\cdot)$ was established in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Therefore

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \partial^n X(\cdot)e_n(t) + \frac{1}{c_d} \int_0^t e_{d-1}(t-s) \dot{Z}(s)d(s),$$

giving the result.

Colorrary 2.1

Suppose $X(t)$ is a SH(S α S)P for which $f(\lambda) = \left| \frac{p_0(\lambda)p_1(\lambda)}{p_2(\lambda)} \right|^2$ where $p_0(\lambda), p_1(\lambda), p_2(\lambda)$ are as in Lemma 1.1. Then

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_0+n_1} b_n \partial^n Y(t) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\sum_{n=0}^{n_0+n_1} b_n (i\lambda)^n = p_0(\lambda)p_1(\lambda)$ and $Y(t)$ is the SH(S α S)P with spectral density $|p_2(\lambda)|^{-2}$.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= \int e^{it\lambda} \frac{p_0(\lambda)p_1(\lambda)}{p_2(\lambda)} M(d\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_0+n_1} b_n \int (i\lambda)^n e^{it\lambda} \frac{1}{p_2(\lambda)} M(d\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Apply (2.1) with $n_0 = n_1 = 0$ and $d = n_2$ to arrive at (2.2).

References

- [1] Cambanis, S. (1982). Complex symmetric stable variables and processes. Contributions to Statistics: Essays in Honor of Norman L. Johnson. pp. 63-79. Sen, P.K., Ed. New York, North Holland.
- [2] Cambanis, S. and Soltani, A.R. (1984). Prediction of stable processes: spectral and moving average representations. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. 66, 593-612.
- [3] Dym, H. and McKean, H. P. (1976). *Gaussian Processes, Function Theory, and the Inverse Spectral Problem*. New York: ACADEMIC PRESS INC.
- [4] Janicki, A. and Weron, A. (1994). *Simulation and Chaotic Behavior of α -stable Stochastic Processes*. New York: MARCEL DEKKER, INC.
- [5] Hida, T. (1960). Canonical representations of Gaussian processes and their applications. Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto 33 (1960), 109-155.
- [6] Nikfar, M. and Soltani, A.R. (1996). A characterization and moving average representation for stable harmonizable processes. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis 9, Number 3, 263-270.
- [7] Nikfar, M. and Soltani, A.R. (1997). On regularity of certain stable processes. Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 23, No. 1, pp 13-22.
- [8] Rozanov, Yu. A. (1967). *Stationary Random Processes*. San Francisco: Holden-Day

- [9] Schilder, M. (1970). Sum structur theorem for the symetric stable laws. Ann. Math. Statist. 41, 412-421.
- [10] Soltani, A. R. and Tarami, B. (2000). Hilbert spaces formed by strongly harmonizable stable processes. Sent for publication

S. Rezakhah
Department of Mathematics
Amirkabir University of Technology
Hafez Avenue
Tehran, Iran
email: rezakhah@cic.aku.ac.ir

Ahmad Reza Soltani
Department of Statistics
College of Sciences
Shiraz University
Shiraz 71454 Iran
email: soltani@sun01.susc.ac.ir