Statistical Analyses of Round Robin Interaction Data
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1. Introduction

Round robin interaction data arise when individuals from a group of subjects interact with one-another. An interaction between two subjects produces a pair of outcomes, one for each subject. There are many types of scientific investigations that give rise to round robin interaction data, and as such, there is a need for good methods of statistical analysis.

Suppose that a round robin design involves $m$ subjects where subjects $i$ and $j$ meet $n_{ij}$ times, $i \neq j$. When subjects $i$ and $j$ meet on the $k$th occasion, we obtain a pair of observations $y_{ijk}$ and $y_{jik}$ as a realization of continuous random variables. Here $y_{ijk}$ represents the response of subject $i$ as an actor towards subject $j$ as a partner on the $k$th occasion; and in $y_{jik}$, the roles are reversed. Warner et al. (1979) proposed the general round robin model

\[
\begin{align*}
    y_{ijk} &= \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk} \\
    y_{jik} &= \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_i + \gamma_{ji} + \varepsilon_{jik} \\
    k &= 1,\ldots, n_{ij}; \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m.
\end{align*}
\]

In this model $\mu$ is the general mean; $\alpha_i$ represents the effect of subject $i$ as an actor; $\beta_j$ is a partner effect due to subject $j$; $\gamma_{ij}$ is an interaction effect representing the special adjustment which subject $i$ makes for subject $j$; $\varepsilon_{ijk}$ represents the error term which picks up the measurement error and/or variability in behaviour at different occasions. Note that if $n_{ij} < 2$ for some $i, j$, the data does not distinguish between $\gamma_{ij}$ and $\varepsilon_{ijk}$. Except for the general mean $\mu$, all parameters in model (1) are assumed to be random variables and are known as the random effects. Based on assumptions on the mean and covariance structure of the parameters, various researchers have considered model (1) and related models using ANOVA and maximum likelihood methods.
2. Bayesian Analysis

Gill and Swartz (2001) consider a fully Bayesian analysis of model (1) which yields a number of advantages. Letting \( \mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} \), we assume conditionally

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_i \\
\beta_i
\end{pmatrix}
\sim \text{Normal}_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma_1 \right),
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_{ij} \\
\gamma_{ji}
\end{pmatrix}
\sim \text{Normal}_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma_2 \right),
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{ijk} \\
y_{jik}
\end{pmatrix}
\sim \text{Normal}_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{ij} \\ \mu_{ji} \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma_3 \right)
\]

where \( k = 1, \ldots, n_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m \) and

\[
\Sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma^2_{\alpha} & \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
\sigma_{\alpha \beta} & \sigma^2_{\beta}
\end{pmatrix},
\Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma^2_{\gamma} & \sigma_{\gamma \gamma} \\
\sigma_{\gamma \gamma} & \sigma^2_{\gamma}
\end{pmatrix},
\Sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma^2_{\epsilon} & \sigma_{\epsilon \epsilon} \\
\sigma_{\epsilon \epsilon} & \sigma^2_{\epsilon}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

In addition, we assume

\[
\mu \sim \text{Normal}[\tau, \sigma^2_{\mu}], \quad \Sigma_1^{-1} \sim \text{Wishart}_2[(\rho_0 r_0 I)^{-1}, \rho_0],
\]

\[
\tau \sim \text{Normal}[\tau_0, \sigma^2_{\tau}], \quad \sigma^2_{\mu} \sim \text{Inverse Gamma}[a_0, b_0]
\]

where the hyperparameters are set to give diffuse prior distributions for the parameters \( \tau, \sigma^2_{\mu} \) and \( \Sigma_1 \). For \( \Sigma_2 \), we assume that \( \sigma^2_{\gamma} \sim \text{Exponential}[r_0] \) and \( \sigma_{\gamma \gamma} \mid \sigma_{\gamma} \sim \text{Uniform}[-\sigma^2_{\gamma}, \sigma^2_{\gamma}] \). A similar prior structure is also imposed on \( \Sigma_3 \). These atypical bivariate priors are appealing as they are simply characterized by the single specified hyperparameter \( r_0 \). Moreover, \( E(\sigma^2_{\gamma}) = E(\sigma^2_{\epsilon}) \approx E(\sigma^2_{\epsilon}) = E(\sigma^2_{\tau}) = r_0 \) which suggests a commonality of magnitude amongst the various effects and we note that \( r_0 \) can often be chosen by the experimental structure.

After determining the full conditional distributions, the Gibbs sampling algorithm allows investigation of the posterior distribution.
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RESUME

Cet article concerne l’analyse de données issues de tournois à la ronde dans lesquels l’interaction des membres d’un groupe deux à la fois donne lieu à des paires de résultats, un pour chaque individu.